

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 * * *

9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 2:12-cr-00378-MMD-VCF
10 v. Plaintiff, AMENDED ORDER
11 LUIS ALBERTO FLORES-ORVINA,
12 Defendant.
13
14

15 Defendant requested the Court's intervention to clarify his release date by letter
16 to the Court. (See dkt. no. 22.) Defendant thought his release date would be August
17 10, 2015, but he learned that his release date would be 14 days after his anticipated
18 release date. Defendant informed that his attempts to contact his counsel have been
19 unsuccessful. The Court ordered the government to file a response. (See dkt. nos. 23
20 and 24.) The Court agrees with the government that it does not have jurisdiction to
21 provide Defendant's requested relief – address the Bureau of Prisons' calculation of
22 credit for Defendant's time in custody. Defendant's remedy is to first seek an
23 administrative appeal of the Bureau of Prisons' decision.

24 DATED THIS 22nd day of May 2013.
25

26 
27 MIRANDA M. DU
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE